

CRITICAL LEGAL EXAMINATION.

Even if every lie were true. This treatment is still illegal.

This is a forensic legal and theological examination of Dr. McLean's case that accepts, for the sake of argument, every slanderous accusation ever made. It then demonstrates that even within that hypothetical, the treatment he has received violates the law at every level. Domestic, international, and moral.

1. I have demanded to be arrested. No one has come.

On multiple occasions, through documented written submissions to police, the Australian Federal Police, the NDIS Commission, the Commonwealth Ombudsman, and federal ministers, Dr. McLean has explicitly invited the state to arrest him, charge him, and submit any evidence it holds against him to a court of law. Not one of those invitations has produced a charge, an arrest, a summons, or a formal investigation into the allegations made against him.

The legal significance of no arrest.

Presumption of innocence. In Australia, a person is presumed innocent until proven guilty in a court of law. No conviction exists. No charge exists. Dr. McLean is therefore, in the eyes of Australian law, innocent.

Habeas corpus. No person may be imprisoned, persecuted, or deprived of liberty, livelihood, housing, or support without lawful legal process. Dr. McLean has been deprived of housing, NDIS support, income, family contact, and community standing without any lawful process initiating that deprivation.

Extrajudicial punishment. To punish a person for an offence that has never been charged, tried, or proven is extrajudicial punishment. It is a fundamental violation of the rule of law and a crime against due process in every jurisdiction that recognises the doctrine.

Rule of law. Every person is subject only to the law, and the law must apply equally. If the state believes Dr. McLean has committed an offence, the law requires it to charge and try him. Choosing instead to surveil, harass, defame, isolate, and impoverish him without charge is a violation of the rule of law itself.

The invitation is in the record. The demand to be charged and tried is not ambiguous. It is documented in multiple formal submissions. The state's non-response to that demand is itself evidentiary. An institution that had genuine evidence of criminal conduct would use it. Silence in the face of demand is the clearest possible indication that no such evidence exists.

2. Even if I were guilty. Christ has redeemed me.

The Christian theological framework, the stated foundation of Western civilisation and the explicit basis of the moral code invoked by those who have condemned Dr. McLean without trial, does not permit permanent condemnation of any redeemed person. This is not an argument at the margins of Christian thought. It is its central proposition.

Therefore, there is now no condemnation for those who are in Christ Jesus.

If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.

He has not dealt with us according to our sins, nor rewarded us according to our iniquities. As far as the east is from the west, so far has he removed our transgressions from us.

The people who have deployed unproven allegations against Dr. McLean have done so under the moral and social authority of a civilisation that proclaims redemption as its cornerstone. They cannot simultaneously invoke that civilisation's values to condemn him and ignore its explicit teaching that condemnation ends at the cross. The theological position of Christianity, the religion of the institutions, agencies, and individuals persecuting Dr. McLean, is that even if he were guilty of every charge ever whispered against him, those charges are resolved by grace. The persecution continues regardless. This is not Christianity. It is inquisition using Christian language.

3. It is still illegal to treat any person this way.

Regardless of guilt, innocence, or redemption, the treatment Dr. McLean has received violates the law. A guilty person retains human rights. A charged person retains due process rights. An uncharged person retains all of those and more. The following treatment has been applied to a man who has never been charged with any offence, who has been declared innocent by the operation of the presumption of innocence, and who has formally demanded that the state test its accusations in court.

4. The criminal treatment. Itemised.

Each item below has been documented with contemporaneous evidence in the archive. Each constitutes, individually, a prima facie violation of Australian or international law. Together, they constitute a systematic pattern of persecution that meets the threshold definitions of crimes against humanity under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

Political exile.

Dr. McLean has been effectively exiled from Australian civic, economic, and social life

without any judicial process. His applications for housing, employment, NDIS support, and political representation have been systematically denied across agencies, providers, and jurisdictions in a pattern that cannot be explained by independent bureaucratic failure. He has been rendered stateless within his own state. Present in body but erased from the systems that constitute participation in society.

Disability discrimination.

Dr. McLean holds documented psychiatric diagnoses. The NDIS was created specifically to support Australians with disability. His applications for NDIS funding, supported independent living, and support coordination have been denied, revoked, and bureaucratically obstructed across multiple review cycles. The obstruction of disability support for a person with documented disability, particularly where the obstruction is coordinated across multiple providers and agencies, constitutes disability discrimination.

Family violence and coercive control.

Documented text messages and affidavit evidence establish a pattern of psychological abuse, financial withholding, false reporting to authorities, and social isolation engineered by family members. This includes false allegations communicated to third parties to undermine Dr. McLean's credibility, housing, and safety. This is a recognised coercive control pattern.

Coercive financial control and poverty engineering.

The systematic denial of NDIS funding, employment, housing assistance, and income support, applied in concert across agencies, has resulted in documented destitution. This is not bureaucratic failure but a documented mechanism. When a whistleblower cannot access income, they cannot mount legal challenges, cannot sustain public presence, and are driven toward desperation that institutions can then reclassify as mental illness. Poverty was the instrument. The instrument was deliberately wielded.

Institutional abuse and neglect.

Multiple disability support providers, residential services, and government agencies have documented failing their duty of care to Dr. McLean while he was in their care or under their jurisdiction. This includes denial of basic supports, failure to respond to formal complaints, and active participation in his isolation and destabilisation. Each failure, taken alone, may constitute negligence. The pattern across institutions constitutes organised institutional abuse.

Surveillance and stalking.

Dr. McLean has documented continuous surveillance of his digital communications, physical movements, and social interactions. This includes monitoring of private conversations, real-time awareness by third parties of his location and activities, and the coordinated deployment of individuals in his environment consistent with organised surveillance operations. Australian law criminalises stalking and surveillance without consent.

Torture, degrading treatment and V2K.

The documented experience of V2K technology, audio signals transmitted directly to a target's auditory perception, constitutes torture under international law if applied by or with the complicity of state actors. Regardless of the mechanism, the sustained psychological terror, sleep deprivation, reputational destruction, social isolation, and material destitution imposed over years meets the definition of cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment.

Institutional murder attempts and cover up.

The combination of psychiatric detention, used not therapeutically but to silence, with the systematic denial of housing, income, and support creates conditions designed to produce death. The archive documents a suicide attempt following the collapse of support systems. The cover up consists of classifying these conditions as natural consequences of mental illness rather than engineered outcomes of institutional policy. When an institution creates conditions that kill and then classifies the death as self harm, the responsibility does not disappear.

Genocide via attrition. Attempted erasure.

Genocide does not require gas chambers. It includes deliberately inflicting conditions of life calculated to bring about physical destruction in whole or in part. When a state systematically denies a citizen housing, income, medical support, legal redress, and social participation over a sustained period, with intent demonstrable through pattern, the threshold is met. Dr. McLean is not an isolated case. He is a documented instance of a broader mechanism.

Assassination. Documented attempts.

The archive contains documented evidence, including text messages, witness accounts, and formal affidavits, of communications expressing intent to harm or kill Dr. McLean. These are documented communications in the context of a campaign against him. In Australian law, a threat to kill is a criminal offence. These communications have been submitted to police and oversight bodies. No investigation has been commenced. The failure to investigate a

documented threat is itself a serious breach.

5. Organised stalking. Tactics documented and identified.

Organised stalking, also called gang stalking, community harassment, or multi perpetrator stalking, is a form of persecution in which a target is subjected to coordinated surveillance, harassment, and psychological operations by multiple individuals acting in concert. The following tactics have been documented with contemporaneous evidence.

Ambient noise harassment. Coordinated individuals positioned in the target's environment to create disturbing or triggering stimuli such as staged conversations, deliberate noise, and mimicry of private information.

Character assassination networks. Systematic communication of false and damaging information to employers, housing providers, family members, support workers, and social contacts to destroy reputation.

Gaslighting and reality distortion. Coordinated denial of documented events and manipulation of the environment to create confusion and self doubt, often reinforced through psychiatric reclassification.

Isolation engineering. Coordinated destruction of personal relationships and support networks through misinformation and pressure.

Economic warfare. Systematic interference with employment, housing, income support, and financial stability.

V2K technology. Alleged transmission of audio signals directly to auditory perception designed to be undetectable and deniable.

Vehicular and pedestrian surveillance. Physical monitoring by rotating individuals to maintain constant observation.

Digital infiltration and communication monitoring. Access to devices and communications enabling real time awareness and counteraction.

Institutional capture and complaint routing. Complaints redirected back to involved agencies, preventing independent review.

Provocation operations. Deliberate triggering of reactions that can be used to justify psychiatric detention or discredit testimony.

Sleep deprivation and sensory assault. Environmental interference designed to prevent rest and induce instability.

Spiritual and identity attack. Targeting of religious beliefs and identity to undermine internal resilience.

On dismissal as paranoia.

The standard institutional response to organised stalking testimony is reclassification as paranoid delusion. This response is itself a tactic. Historical programs of coordinated harassment have later been confirmed as real once documentation emerged. The question is not whether such programs exist. The question is whether this case is credible. The archive exists. The documentation exists. The public record exists.

Share this examination.

<https://clean-text-generator-richarddrawsstu.replit.app/#legal-examination>