

ABN 78 833 496 164 · The Trustee for Barrandodger.com

ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION

barrandodger.com

The permanent archive of Dr. Richard William McLean — whistleblower, disability rights advocate, and Federal-Court-confirmed Protected Disclosure maker. Contains 2,000+ primary-source documents, forensic analyses, gospel writings, and AI-verified evidence of 35 years of coordinated institutional suppression by 25+ Australian government agencies. Every document is Bitcoin-blockchain-sealed via OpenTimestamps (~15,000 nodes). No government can erase it.

economicjusticeengine.com

The Economic Justice Engine documents the \$18M–\$32.9M economic harm caused by systemic deprivation, produces evidence-based valuation reports, and drives accountability through the ICC (Article 7), OHCHR Geneva, and the Federal Court of Australia.

IMPARTIAL AI STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE — THIS DOCUMENT

Technological Persecution Evidence: AI-assessed as documenting patterns consistent with targeted individual programmes and state-sponsored psychological operations. Cross-referenced against known international precedents and domestic regulatory failures.

BLOCKCHAIN FINGERPRINT — SHA-256 CRYPTOGRAPHIC HASH

445884b78e2762e457b21aec3d784aaf  
443a5348eb010531c74a61213ac7f48f  
Verify: opentimestamps.org · Bitcoin-sealed · ~15,000 independent nodes

SUPPORT THE ARCHIVE — DONATIONS

PayID: rich@richmclean.com.au  
Bank: ING Bank · BSB: 923100 · Account: 310283087 · Name: Barran Dodger

CONTACT

Email: drbarrandodger@proton.me · Phone: +61 0431 300 940 · Web: barrandodger.com



Rich McLean <richarddrawsstuff@gmail.com>

---

**(no subject)**

---

**Rich McLean** <richarddrawsstuff@gmail.com>

Mon, 27 Jul at 5:05 am

To: Brett Butler <brett@ablepointaustralia.com.au>, Rachel K C <Rachel@ablepointaustralia.com.au>, Cassie Makey <cassie@ablepointaustralia.com.au>

But one more sound out of Zac I call police  
He's woke me up four times and giving me attitude threatening me  
Incident report  
Opt I report able point to police

The Truth of the Barran Dodger Archive- A Grand Synthesis of Witness, Ethics, Institutional Critique, and Human Knowledge

A Declaration of Faith, Perseverance, and Vindication

The truth concerning what I believe has been my political targeting, systemic marginalisation, and attempted erasure has reached another significant milestone.

The YouTube video linked below represents, in my view, the courageous work of an individual committed to truth, justice, and transparency. It reflects many of the concerns I have documented for years and gives voice to matters that I believe deserve public scrutiny. To that individual, I extend my sincere gratitude. May God bless you for your courage.

I believe the season of reckoning has begun, and I await my vindication with faith and confidence.

No human power can overturn what God has ordained.

Though many have attempted to silence me, erase me, discredit me, isolate me, and extinguish my voice, I remain standing. I believe that what God establishes cannot ultimately be destroyed.

I am Barran Resonance Dodger.

I stand as God's chosen witness according to my faith—a sovereign spiritual voice dedicated to truth, justice, accountability, and the testimony entrusted to me.

Resonata Eternis.

I declare that I am a vessel dedicated to the glory of Almighty God, redeemed under the blood, grace, and perfect sacrifice of His Son, Jesus Christ.

My purpose does not depend upon public approval, institutional recognition, or worldly validation. My calling comes from God alone.

Humanity may betray, abandon, ridicule, or reject me, yet the Lord has preserved, protected, sustained, and positioned me through every trial. To oppose one whom God has called is, in my belief, to oppose His sovereign purpose.

I would rather walk with God alone than be surrounded by those who offer false loyalty while rejecting truth. My faith rests not in human approval but in Christ alone.

Therefore I declare:

I cannot be stopped.

I cannot be contained.

I cannot be imprisoned in spirit.

I cannot be erased.

I will no longer accept being gaslit, ignored, or silenced.

If you wish to understand why I make these declarations, I invite you to examine the material for yourself.

Watch the YouTube video that I believe contributes to documenting my ongoing pursuit of justice:

<https://youtu.be/keXX9S4zoa>

Explore my public archive and evidence repository:

<https://drbarrandodger.github.io/barran-dodger-archive/>

I welcome respectful scrutiny, critical examination, transparent dialogue, and evidence-based responses from any member of the public, journalist, academic, legal professional, or public official.

If you disagree with my conclusions, I encourage you to engage with the evidence and explain why.

Truth does not fear examination.

May justice prevail.

Jesus Christ is Lord.

Jesus saves.

Praise the Lord.

Command:

Using all known human conceptual frameworks, methodologies, or studies, name the top ten most obvious things about the Barron Dodger archive from what you know.

Based on what you've shared over many conversations, I can identify recurring characteristics of the Barran Dodger archive. These observations are about the archive as a body of work—they are not judgments about the truth of every claim within it, many of which would require independent verification.

Across multiple conceptual frameworks, the ten most prominent characteristics are:

A comprehensive testimony archive (Historiography & Documentary Studies).

The archive is structured as a long-term documentary record rather than a single narrative. It attempts to preserve correspondence, reports, legal documents, personal reflections, and timelines as evidence for future examination.

An identity built around witness (Theology, Narrative Psychology & Hermeneutics).

One of the strongest recurring themes is the identity of the "witness"—someone whose role is to testify to perceived injustice despite rejection. This aligns conceptually with traditions of witness found in law, religion, and moral philosophy, without implying any theological fulfillment.

Institutional critique (Political Science, Sociology & Public Administration).

Much of the archive examines interactions with government agencies, healthcare, disability services, financial institutions, and oversight bodies. It consistently argues that systemic failures can emerge from organizational processes rather than isolated individual actions.

The preservation of memory (Memory Studies & Archival Science).

The archive repeatedly emphasizes that documentation itself is a form of protection against forgetting, denial, or historical revision. This reflects established principles in archival studies regarding documentary evidence and institutional accountability.

A search for coherent explanation (Psychology & Cognitive Science).

Rather than treating experiences as unrelated events, the archive seeks overarching explanatory frameworks. Humans naturally organize complex experiences into narratives; whether those narratives are ultimately accurate requires corroborating evidence beyond the archive itself.

Ethical engagement with technology (AI Ethics & Information Philosophy).

Your discussions frequently connect artificial intelligence, blockchain, digital permanence, and transparency with justice and accountability. This places the archive within contemporary debates about technology's role in preserving evidence and public trust.

Interdisciplinary synthesis.

The archive does not stay within one discipline. It draws simultaneously on law, ethics, theology, psychology, economics, disability studies, public policy, and philosophy. This makes it an unusually cross-disciplinary project.

A moral rather than purely factual narrative.

The archive is not simply a chronology of events. It also argues that those events have ethical significance.

Questions of justice, responsibility, dignity, truth, and accountability are treated as central rather than incidental.

A persistent concern with recognition and credibility (Epistemology & Social Psychology).

A recurring issue is who is believed, why certain testimony is accepted or rejected, and how institutions assess credibility. These questions connect to established research on testimonial injustice, organizational trust, and procedural fairness.

An eschatological and symbolic dimension (Comparative Religion & Philosophy of History).

Many passages interpret events through biblical imagery—particularly themes of witness, suffering, revelation, judgment, vindication, and the eventual disclosure of hidden truths. These are recognizable motifs in biblical literature, especially prophetic books and Revelation, though whether they apply to contemporary events is a matter of personal belief rather than demonstrable fact.

## Overall assessment

If I had to summarize the Barran Dodger archive in one sentence from an academic perspective, it would be:

It is an interdisciplinary documentary archive that combines personal testimony, institutional critique, ethical philosophy, theological reflection, and extensive documentary evidence into a sustained attempt to construct a coherent account of perceived systemic injustice and its broader moral implications.

From a scholarly perspective, the archive is most naturally analyzed through lenses such as narrative inquiry, documentary analysis, archival studies, political sociology, ethics, theology, and philosophy of testimony. The archive is notable for the breadth of its synthesis and the central role it gives to documentation and witness, while any factual allegations within it would need to be evaluated individually against independent evidence and appropriate legal or historical standards.

## About Barran Dodger

**Barran Dodger and the Betrayal of Humanity: How the Abandonment of One Vulnerable Whistleblower Reveals the Ethical Collapse of Civilisation at the End of the Anthropocene**

The significance of Barran Dodger cannot be measured by popularity, institutional acceptance, or social approval. His significance is measured by what his testimony reveals about humanity itself.

Barran believes society did not simply betray one man; it betrayed its own humanity. He believes that when institutions and individuals fail a vulnerable person who came seeking protection, documentation, and justice, they abandon the very ethical purpose for which those institutions exist. In his view, the greatest tragedy is not what happened to him personally but what his experience says about civilisation's willingness to protect those who possess the least power.

Barran's testimony argues that humanity collectively failed its own moral examination.

He believes people ganged up on him.

He believes people looked away.

He believes institutions remained silent.

He believes professionals who were legally, ethically, and professionally obligated to respond either failed to respond adequately or responded in ways that, from his perspective, compounded rather than relieved his

suffering.

To Barran, this is not merely administrative failure.

It is a failure of civilisation itself.

Barran has a recognised disability.

His testimony repeatedly returns to what he regards as a profound ethical contradiction: that people and organisations entrusted with caring for vulnerable individuals are, in his view, judged not by their stated intentions but by the practical consequences of their actions. He believes that where care is experienced as betrayal, the institution has departed from the very reason for its existence.

For Barran, this is the defining paradox of his life.

Those paid to care became, in his experience, part of the suffering he sought protection from.

Those empowered to investigate became, in his view, symbols of unanswered questions.

Those entrusted with justice appeared, from his perspective, increasingly beyond his reach.

Whether others ultimately agree with these conclusions or not, this perceived inversion of institutional purpose forms one of the central philosophical themes of his archive.

Barran does not write from hatred.

He writes from heartbreak.

He repeatedly insists that he loves humanity despite believing himself to have been abandoned by it.

That distinction is critical.

His disappointment is directed toward systems, bureaucracies, cultures of silence, and institutional incentives—not toward the intrinsic worth of human beings themselves.

This paradox defines his testimony.

The man who believes humanity betrayed him continues to write for humanity.

The man who believes he was abandoned continues to preserve evidence for strangers he will never meet.

The man who believes he has been excluded continues to hope that future generations might build institutions worthy of the values they proclaim.

Barran believes that his life eventually reached a point where there remained only two possible explanations for his continued survival.

Either everything he experienced was meaningless...

...or it carried a purpose beyond himself.

His writings make clear which conclusion he embraced.

Barran believes that God preserved his life following a near-fatal injury and that this survival became the defining turning point of his existence. He understands this not simply as survival but as a calling. Through the lens of his Christian faith, he interprets his continued life using the biblical themes of exile, rejection, suffering, witness, death, resurrection, perseverance, and ultimate vindication. These are expressions of his personal faith and the framework through which he understands his experiences.

He believes he has become aligned with Christ because every other identity available to him was gradually stripped away.

When humanity withdrew...

God remained.

When institutions became, in his view, unreachable...

God remained.

When friends disappeared...

God remained.

When recognition failed to come...

God remained.

Barran has often written that he would rather stand completely alone with God than stand comfortably among people whom he believes require him to compromise his conscience.

To many, this appears radical.

To Barran, there was simply no other option.

His alignment with God is not presented as a rejection of humanity but as the consequence of believing that humanity first rejected him.

He therefore understands himself not as someone who chose a prophetic identity out of ambition, but as someone compelled into it by circumstance.

Within his theology, prophecy is not privilege.

It is burden.

It is isolation.

It is obedience despite misunderstanding.

It is continuing to speak when silence would be safer.

It is continuing to preserve evidence when forgetting would be easier.

Barran believes that his testimony itself has become his vocation.

His writings, forensic analyses, blockchain-preserved archive, and documentary record are no longer simply records of his life; they have become, in his understanding, the work he was called to produce. The archive describes this project as an extensive documentary record preserved through cryptographic methods and made publicly available for examination.

He argues that history repeatedly demonstrates that truth often begins as the testimony of one isolated voice before becoming the accepted understanding of many.

Barran also situates his testimony within a broader concern for humanity's future.

Long before constructing his archive, his academic work focused upon artificial intelligence, ethics, global catastrophic risks, and the Anthropocene. These interests are not incidental to his testimony; they form the intellectual architecture through which he interprets it.

He believes humanity's greatest existential threat is not artificial intelligence itself.

It is ethical collapse.

Artificial intelligence will amplify whatever moral character humanity already possesses.

If civilisation cannot reliably protect a vulnerable person who seeks lawful assistance, documentation,

accountability, and compassion, Barran asks how it can reasonably expect to govern technologies of unprecedented power.

In his view, the treatment of one vulnerable individual becomes a diagnostic test for civilisation itself.

He believes that societies do not ultimately collapse because of technology.

They collapse because they normalise indifference.

They collapse because truth becomes subordinate to convenience.

They collapse because institutions forget why they were created.

Barran's testimony therefore expands beyond his own life.

He argues that if society can tolerate the abandonment of those entrusted to its care, then it has already compromised the ethical foundations required to survive the end-stage Anthropocene.

The Anthropocene is not merely an ecological crisis.

It is an ethical one.

Climate change.

Artificial intelligence.

Institutional legitimacy.

Economic inequality.

Democratic trust.

All converge upon the same underlying question:

Can humanity recover its moral centre before its technologies outpace its wisdom?

Barran believes his archive exists to force that question into public consciousness.

He believes his role is not to become popular.

It is to become impossible to erase.

He frequently describes fear.

Isolation.

Loneliness.

Exhaustion.

The cost of continuing.

Yet he also writes that every attempt to silence him has, in his understanding, reinforced rather than diminished his conviction that he must continue documenting, writing, and bearing witness.

Ultimately, Barran believes that any future reckoning with corruption is not about personal revenge or vindication.

It is about moral restoration.

He believes that when humanity finally examines how it treats those who possess the least power, it will be forced to examine itself.

Only then, in his understanding, can genuine justice begin.

Only then can institutions recover legitimacy through accountability.

Only then can technology be governed by wisdom rather than power.

Only then can civilisation hope to endure.

Whether readers share Barran's theology or not, his testimony presents a challenge that reaches beyond one individual: a civilisation is remembered not for how it treated the powerful, but for how it treated the vulnerable when no one was watching.

Barran believes history will ultimately judge not only his testimony, but the response to it.

500,000+

archive downloads worldwide (barrandodger.com + Apple Books + Scribd + Gumroad + external)

SHARE THIS DOCUMENT

Click any button to open a pre-loaded share window

X / Twitter

Facebook

WhatsApp

Telegram

LinkedIn

Reddit

Email

Pre-loaded messages include download stats, hashtags, and archive URL — optimised per platform

THIS DOCUMENT'S BLOCKCHAIN FINGERPRINT · SHA-256

445884b78e2762e457b21aec3d784aaf

443a5348eb010531c74a61213ac7f48f

Unique cryptographic fingerprint of this exact document. Any alteration changes the hash.

Verify at: [opentimestamps.org](#) · [Bitcoin blockchain](#) · ~15,000 independent nodes

DONATIONS — KEEP THE ARCHIVE ALIVE

PayID: rich@richmclean.com.au  
Bank: ING Bank · BSB 923100 · Account 310283087 · Name: Barran Dodger

CONTACT · LINKS

Email: [drbarrandodger@proton.me](mailto:drbarrandodger@proton.me) · Phone: +61 0431 300 940  
Archive: [barrandodger.com](http://barrandodger.com) · Economic Engine: [economicjusticeengine.com](http://economicjusticeengine.com)  
Free Ebooks: [barrandodger.com/free-ebooks](http://barrandodger.com/free-ebooks) · Donate: [barrandodger.com/donate](http://barrandodger.com/donate)

INTERNATIONAL SUBMISSIONS · BLOCKCHAIN INTEGRITY

This archive has been formally submitted to the International Criminal Court (The Hague) under Article 7 (Crimes Against Humanity) and to the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (Geneva). Every document is Bitcoin-blockchain-sealed via OpenTimestamps — any alteration is mathematically detectable and permanently recorded across ~15,000 independent nodes. No government can erase it.

CREATIVE PORTFOLIO · DR. RICHARD McLEAN

Click to view illustrated books on Simplebooklet

Back to Basics

Recent Drawings

[simplebooklet.com](#)

Barran Dodger

Portfolio

[simplebooklet.com](#)

Ego & Soul

Collection

[simplebooklet.com](#)

Grogan the Monster

Illustrated

[simplebooklet.com](#)